

Extraordinary Meeting of West Berkshire District Council

Thursday 23 March 2017

Summons and Agenda



WestBerkshire
C O U N C I L

To: All Members of the Council

*You are requested to attend an extraordinary
meeting of*

WEST BERKSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL


to be held in the

**COUNCIL OFFICES, MARKET STREET,
NEWBURY**

on

Thursday 23 March 2017

at 7.00pm



Andy Day
Head of Strategic Support
West Berkshire District Council

Date of despatch of Agenda: Wednesday 15 March 2017

AGENDA

1. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

To receive apologies for inability to attend the meeting (if any).

2. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

To remind Members of the need to record the existence and nature of any personal, disclosable pecuniary or other registrable interests in items on the agenda, in accordance with the Members' [Code of Conduct](#).

3. **LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY REVIEW**

To approve the proposed warding patterns as part of Stage 2 of the Boundary Review.
(Pages 5 - 30)



Agenda - Council to be held on Thursday, 23 March 2017 (continued)

If you require this information in a different format or translation, please contact Moira Fraser on telephone (01635) 519045.

This page is intentionally left blank

Local Government Boundary Review

Committee considering report:	Extraordinary Council on 23 March 2017
Portfolio Member:	Councillor Graham Jones
Date Portfolio Member agreed report:	9 March 2017
Report Author:	Andy Day
Forward Plan Ref:	C3271

1. Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 To propose to Council proposed new warding patterns based on the Council's agreed position to see a Council size of 42 + or - 1 number of Members with effect from the 2019/20 District Council Elections.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Council approve the proposed warding patterns and proposed new names, where appropriate, for each ward (Appendix B) as part of Stage 2 of the review of the Council's boundaries.
- 2.2 That the Local Government Boundary Commission be asked to look at whether the Greenham ward should be two single Member wards based on a view that there would be two distinct communities of the Racecourse development and the proposed new Sandford development.

3. Implications

- 3.1 **Financial:** If this report is approved then savings of around £84,000 are available.
- 3.2 **Policy:** N/A
- 3.3 **Personnel:** N/A
- 3.4 **Legal:** This review is being conducted in accordance with the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009.
- 3.5 **Risk Management:** N/A
- 3.6 **Property:** N/A
- 3.7 **Other:** N/A

4. Executive Summary

- 4.1 The LGBC met in January to consider the Council's proposed future governance structures as part of Stage 1 of the review of its boundaries. The Commission approved the Council proposals without modification. This was based on a future number of Councillors of 42 + or - 1. This would take effect from the 2019/20 District Council elections.
- 4.2 The Local Government Boundary Commission launched its public consultation on Stage 2 (warding patterns) on Tuesday 24 January. This was expected to run until 3 April. However the Commission experienced some issues in outsourcing this work (website) with the result that the electorate figures provided for each ward were transposed incorrectly.
- 4.3 As a result of the above the LGBC agreed to extend the consultation period from 3 to 10 April.
- 4.4 As part of Stage 2 of the Boundary Review the Council is now required to submit its proposed warding patterns going forward from 2019/20. The proposals before the Council will see an average of 2900 electors per Councillor.

5. Conclusion

- 5.1 Proposals relating to warding patterns based on a future number of Councillors of 42 + or -1 have been drafted. These proposals (Appendix B) have been informed by a range of data sources including the future projects of developments across the District.
- 5.2 As part of Stage 2, officers have proposed new names for wards, where appropriate, where the wards have changed significantly. Members may wish to review the proposed ward names and provide feedback as necessary.

6. Appendices

- 6.1 Appendix A - Supporting Information
- 6.2 Appendix B - Proposed Warding Patterns
- 6.3 Appendix C – Proposed Ward Maps

Local Government Boundary Review - Stage 2 - Supporting Information

1. Introduction/Background

- 1.1 At its meeting on 22 November 2016, the Council approved its Governance proposal a requirement of Stage 1 of the review of the Council's boundaries. The Council agreed to a future number of Councillors of 42 + or - 1 with effect from the 2019/20 District Council elections.
- 1.2 The Local Government Boundary Commission (LGBC) met in January and approved the Council's governance proposal without amendment.
- 1.3 The Local Government Boundary Commission launched its public consultation on Stage 2 (warding patterns) on Tuesday 24 January. This was expected to run until 3 April. However the Commission experienced some issues in outsourcing this work (website) with the result that the electorate figures provided for each ward were transposed incorrectly.
- 1.4 As a result of the above issue the LGBC agreed to extend the consultation period from 3 to 10 April.

2. Supporting Information

- 2.1 Stage 2 of the review requires the Council to make a proposal to the Commission in relation to warding patterns. This part of the review is driven by the agreed 42 + or - 1 number of Councillors.
- 2.2 As prescribed in the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009, the Council submitted electorate forecasts up to 2022. These forecasts were broken down to polling district levels and projected an increase in the electorate of approximately 4.8% to 2022.
- 2.3 The projections were based on the LGBC guidance and started with the electorate as of September 2016. The number for the new electorate was then estimated by using outstanding planning permissions and likely future development. This data is fully consistent with the information that has been supplied to the Planning Inspectorate who is undertaking an examination in public of our Housing Site Allocations Development Plan Document (HSADPD). This document allocates future development sites within the district to cover the period up to 2026.
- 2.4 The methodology treats three types of area differently:
 - where housing change has been (more or less) directly related to electoral change
 - where there is not a direct relationship, but some past or future development
 - where there is not a relationship and no past or future development

- 2.5 An adjustment factor was applied to all parishes to allow for the difference between past electoral change period (5 years) and forecast (6 years). Where a parish was divided between polling districts, the split was based on current electorate split or the electorate split forecast by our initial forecast. We also had to ensure that our prediction (4.8%) was close to the Office of National Statistics (ONS) forecast for the district (3.2%).
- 2.6 When considering the makeup of proposed future wards the area was initially divided into four areas, Newbury, Thatcham, Holybrook/Tilehurst, and the surrounding more rural areas.
- 2.7 Outside of the three main areas, it was evident that there would be a requirement to significantly alter the existing wards due to the increased number of estimated electors per Councillor. Wherever possible however, existing Community links have been retained. It was also agreed that Parish Councils would be used as the building blocks, and no further sub-division of Parishes would be proposed.

	2016	2022
Electorate of West Berkshire	118,823	124,492
Number of Councillors	52	42 + or - 1
Average number of electors per Councillor	2208	2900

- 2.8 The result of the various data sources is that the number of 42 + or -1 will result in an average of 2900 electors per Member. This compares to the current figure of 2208 based on 52 Members. The guidance suggests that proposals for each ward should not, wherever possible, have a variance greater than + or - 10% in order to achieve good levels of electoral fairness for West Berkshire.
- 2.9 The Steering Group was also aware of the three statutory criteria which the Boundary Commission would apply to this Stage of the Review, namely:
 - (i) To deliver electoral equality where each Councillor represents roughly the same number of electors as each other across the District;
 - (ii) That the pattern of wards should, as far as possible, reflect the interests and identities of local communities;
 - (iii) That the electoral arrangements should provide for effective and convenient local government.
- 2.10 Attached to this report are individual plans for each new ward together with details of Polling Station codes, Polling Stations, current wards and future number of electors. Where there are significant changes to the current wards a new name for the ward has been suggested. Members may wish to review the proposed names and make an appropriate recommendation to Council in each case.

3. Hungerford, Mortimer and Tilehurst Central

- 3.1 It is acknowledged that based on the methodology deployed in paragraphs 2.8 and 2.9 it has not been possible to achieve electoral equality (+ or -10%) for Hungerford, Mortimer and Tilehurst Central wards with Hungerford being - 345, Mortimer + 389 and Tilehurst Central ward - 315.
- 3.2 The possibility of having two single member wards at Greeham was also considered and it is suggested that the LGBC be asked to look at this issue when reviewing all submissions made. The Steering Group considered that the area could now be regarded as having two distinct communities, namely, Racecourse area and the proposed Sandford development area.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 The process of looking at the warding patterns based on a future number of Councillors of 42 + or - 1 has been undertaken and considered by the Steering Group. The Steering Group acknowledged the methodology set out in paragraphs 2.8 to 2.9 as a means of identifying new wards and with the exception of Hungerford, Mortimer and Tilehurst Wards has been able to keep within the + or - 10% threshold and therefore achieve electoral equality across the remaining wards.
- 4.2 Where there are significant changes to the current wards new ward names have been suggested.

5. Consultation and Engagement

- 5.1 The Boundary Review Steering Group met on 23 February 2017 to discuss Stage 2 of the Boundary Review in relation to proposed new warding patterns. The recommendations of the Steering Group are set out in Appendix "B".

Background Papers:

None

Subject to Call-In:

Yes: No: X

- The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval X
- Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council
- Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position
- Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months
- Item is Urgent Key Decision
- Report is to note only

Wards affected:

This review looks at all wards as part of the review of the Council's boundaries.

Strategic Aims and Priorities Supported:

The proposals will help achieve the following Council Strategy aim:

X MEC – Become an even more effective Council

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the following Council Strategy priority:

X MEC1 – Become an even more effective Council

Officer details:

Name: Andy Day
Job Title: Head of Strategic Support
Tel No: 01635 519459
E-mail Address: andy.day@westberks.gov.uk

No of Cllrs	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (current)	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (predicted)
40	2,971	3,112
41	2,898	3,036
42	2,829	2,964
<i>Total electorate:</i>	<i>118,823</i>	<i>124,492</i>

No of Wards: 27
 No of Cllrs: 42
 Electors: 124,492 0
 Av. Per Cllr: 2964

PS code	Polling Station	Current Ward	Current electorate	Diff to estimated	Estimated Electorate	Estimated			
						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
1	Aldermaston Ward					3,214	1	3,214	250
	AA1	Aldermaston No 1	Aldermaston	526	0	526			
	AA2	Aldermaston No 2	Aldermaston	326	0	326			
	WA	Wasing	Aldermaston	32	4	36			
	YUA	Ufton Nervet	Mortimer	248	14	262			
	YPA	Padworth	Mortimer	662	46	708			
	BH	Brimpton	Aldermaston	450	-24	426			
	YBD	Beenham	Sulhamstead	912	18	930			
2	Bradfield Ward					3,071	1	3,071	107
	AC	Ashampstead	Compton	293	-1	292			
	BA	Basildon	Basildon	1,456	8	1,464			
	BF1	Bradfield No 1	Bucklebury	450	9	459			
	BF2	Bradfield No 2	Bucklebury	840	16	856			
3	Bucklebury Ward					2,859	1	2,859	-105
	BI1	Bucklebury No 1	Bucklebury	678	3	681			
	BI2	Bucklebury No 2	Bucklebury	1,072	4	1,076			
	MA	Midgham	Aldermaston	257	-35	222			
	SC	Stanford Dingley	Bucklebury	167	4	171			
	WG	Woolhampton	Aldermaston	683	26	709			
4	Burghfield Ward					6,321	2	3,161	196
	YBJ1	Burghfield No 1	Burghfield	1,098	68	1,166			
	YBJ2	Burghfield No 2	Burghfield	3,501	215	3,716			
	YSG1	Sulhamstead No 1	Sulhamstead	254	-30	224			
	YSG2	Sulhamstead No 2	Sulhamstead	844	131	975			
	YWF	Wokefield	Mortimer	241	-1	240			

No of Cllrs	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (current)	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (predicted)
40	2,971	3,112
41	2,898	3,036
42	2,829	2,964
Total electorate:		
	118,823	124,492

No of Wards: 27
 No of Cllrs: 42
 Electors: 124,492 0
 Av. Per Cllr: 2964

PS code	Polling Station	Current Ward	Current electorate	Diff to estimated	Estimated Electorate	Estimated			
						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
5	Chieveley Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						2,881	1	2,881	-83
	BC	Beedon	Downlands	356	13	369			
	CC1	Chieveley No 1	Chieveley	1,072	-13	1,059			
	CC2	Chieveley No 2	Chieveley	834	-11	823			
	HA	Hampstead Norreys	Compton	619	11	630			
6	Cold Ash Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						5,733	2	2,867	-98
	CD1	Cold Ash No 1	Cold Ash	2,365	30	2,395	(moved 101 to Thatch. W (Florence Gdns)		
	FC	Frilsham	Bucklebury	240	2	242			
	HC	Hermitage	Bucklebury	1,450	45	1,495			
	SA	ShawCumDonnington	Speen	1,322	6	1,328			
	YA	Yattendon	Compton	285	-12	273			
7	Downlands Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						3,014	1	3,014	50
	BG	Brightwalton	Downlands	293	20	313			
	CA	Catmore	Downlands	22	-8	14			
	CB	Chaddleworth	Downlands	369	9	378			
	EA	East Garston	Lambourn Valley	410	-19	391			
	FA	Farnborough	Downlands	62	-13	49			
	FB	Fawley	Downlands	114	0	114			
	GA1	Great Shefford No 1	Lambourn Valley	663	23	686			
	GA2	Great Shefford No 2	Lambourn Valley	89	14	103			
	LB	Leckhampstead	Downlands	280	0	280			
	WB	Welford	Kintbury	420	15	435			
	PC	Peasemore	Downlands	251	0	251			
8	Greenham Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						6,176	2	3,088	124
	GB1	Greenham No 1	Greenham	2,881	295	3,176			
	GB2	Greenham No 2	Greenham	538	2,462	3,000			

No of Cllrs	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (current)	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (predicted)
40	2,971	3,112
41	2,898	3,036
42	2,829	2,964
<i>Total electorate:</i>	<i>118,823</i>	<i>124,492</i>

No of Wards: 27
No of Cllrs: 42
Electors: 124,492 0
Av. Per Cllr: 2964

PS code	Polling Station	Current Ward	Current electorate	Diff to estimated	Estimated Electorate	Estimated			
						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
9	Hungerford Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						5,239	2	2,620	-345
	HD1	Hungerford No 1	Hungerford	3,191	-28	3,163			
	HD2	Hungerford No 2	Hungerford	200	-31	169			
	HD3	Hungerford No 3	Hungerford	1,046	119	1,165			
	CE	Combe	Kintbury	33	-8	25			
	IA	Inkpen	Kintbury	694	-58	636			
	WD	West Woodhay	Kintbury	80	1	81			
10	Kintbury Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						2,802	1	2,802	-162
	KT	Kintbury	Kintbury	2,014	-62	1,952			
	EC	Enborne	Kintbury	571	49	620			
	HB	Hamstead Marshall	Kintbury	224	6	230			
11	Lambourn Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						3,024	1	3,024	60
	LA1	Lambourn No 1	Lambourn Valley	227	-19	208			
	LA2	Lambourn No 2	Lambourn Valley	2,472	-89	2,383			
	LA3	Lambourn No 3	Lambourn Valley	182	15	197			
	LA4	Lambourn No 4	Lambourn Valley	263	-27	236			
12	Mortimer Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						3,353	1	3,353	389
	YBB	Beech Hill	Mortimer	257	49	306			
	YSD1	Stratfield Mortimer No 1	Mortimer	2,542	-4	2,538			
	YSD2	Stratfield Mortimer No 2	Mortimer	518	-9	509			
13	Newbury Clay Hill Ward					Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
						5,580	2	2,790	-174
	CD2	Cold Ash No 2	Clay Hill	446	25	471			
	NB1	Newbury No 1	Clay Hill	2,736	-199	2,537			
	NB2	Newbury No 2	Clay Hill	1,664	95	1,759			
	NB3	Newbury No 3	Victoria	530	283	813			

No of Cllrs	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (current)	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (predicted)
40	2,971	3,112
41	2,898	3,036
42	2,829	2,964
<i>Total electorate:</i>	<i>118,823</i>	<i>124,492</i>

No of Wards: 27
No of Cllrs: 42
Electors: 124,492 0
Av. Per Cllr: 2964

	PS code	Polling Station	Current Ward	Current electorate	Diff to estimated	Estimated Electorate	Estimated			
							Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
14	Newbury Falkland Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							5,542	2	2,771	-193
	NB11	Newbury No 11	St Johns	1,304	26	1,330				
	NB13	Newbury No 13	Falkland	2,470	-329	2,141				
	NB14	Newbury No 14	Falkland	2,493	-422	2,071				
15	Newbury Northcroft Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							2,804	1	2,804	-160
	NB7	Newbury No 7	Speen	476	8	484				
	NB8	Newbury No 8	Northcroft	1,091	-76	1,015				
	NB9	Newbury No 9	Northcroft	621	86	707				
	NB16	Newbury No 16	Northcroft	504	94	598				
16	Newbury St Johns Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							6,309	2	3,155	190
	NB5	Newbury No 5	Victoria	1,427	225	1,652				
	NB12	Newbury No 12	St Johns	3,380	-203	3,177				
	NB15	Newbury No 15	Greenham	1,461	19	1,480				
17	Newbury Victoria Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							5,873	2	2,937	-28
	NB4	Newbury No 4	Victoria	1,066	904	1,970				
	NB6	Newbury No 6	Victoria	1,035	895	1,930				
	NB10	Newbury No 10	Northcroft	1,938	35	1,973				
18	Pangbourne & Purley Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							6,059	2	3,030	65
	ZPB	Pangbourne	Pangbourne	2,348	139	2,487				
	ZPD	Purley	Purley on Thames	3,482	90	3,572				
19	Ridgeway Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							3,036	1	3,036	72
	AB	Aldworth	Basildon	244	16	260				
	CF	Compton	Compton	1,187	6	1,193				
	EB	East Ilsley	Downlands	453	47	500				
	SE	Streatley	Basildon	831	-8	823				
	WC	West Ilsley	Downlands	262	-2	260				

No of Cllrs	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (current)	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (predicted)
40	2,971	3,112
41	2,898	3,036
42	2,829	2,964
<i>Total electorate:</i>	<i>118,823</i>	<i>124,492</i>

No of Wards: 27
 No of Cllrs: 42
 Electors: 124,492 0
 Av. Per Cllr: 2964


PS code	Polling Station	Current Ward	Current electorate	Diff to estimated	Estimated Electorate	Estimated
20	Speen Ward					Electors 2,784 /Cllrs 1 per Cllr 2,784 Diff (42) -180
	BE	Boxford	393	20	413	
	SB1	Speen No 1	438	75	513	
	SB2	Speen No 2	1,038	84	1,122	
	SB3	Speen No 3	511	73	584	
	WE	Winterbourne	145	7	152	
21	Thattham Central & South Ward					Electors 6,573 /Cllrs 2 per Cllr 3,287 Diff (42) 322
	TH3	Thattham No 3	452	-47	405	
	TH4	Thattham No 4	2,371	40	2,411	
	TH11	Thattham No 11	506	17	523	
	TH13	Thattham No 13	1,375	67	1,442	
	TH5	Thattham No 5	852	320	1,172	
	Proposed new PD	Thattham A	-	-	620	
22	Thattham East Ward					Electors 6,070 /Cllrs 2 per Cllr 3,035 Diff (42) 71
	TH8	Thattham No 8	1,444	68	1,512	
	TH9	Thattham No 9	2,144	-124	2,020	
	TH10	Thattham No 10	2,186	-128	2,058	
	Proposed new PD	Thattham B	-	-	480	
23	Thattham West Ward					Electors 6,497 /Cllrs 2 per Cllr 3,249 Diff (42) 284
	TH1	Thattham No 1	2,255	-98	2,157	
	TH2	Thattham No 2	2,252	-3	2,249	
	TH6	Thattham No 6	1,395	-37	1,358	
	TH7	Thattham No 7	667	-35	632	
	Proposed new PD	Thattham C	-	-	101	

No of Cllrs	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (current)	Ave. Number of electorate per Cllr (predicted)
40	2,971	3,112
41	2,898	3,036
42	2,829	2,964
<i>Total electorate:</i>	<i>118,823</i>	<i>124,492</i>


No of Wards: 27
 No of Cllrs: 42
 Electors: 124,492 0
 Av. Per Cllr: 2964

	PS code	Polling Station	Current Ward	Current electorate	Diff to estimated	Estimated Electorate	Estimated			
							Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
24	Theale Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							3,062	1	3,062	98
	YED	Englefield	Sulhamstead	216	-15	201				
	ZSF	Sulham	Purley on Thames	72	1	73				
	ZTA1	Theale No 1	Theale	988	-3	985				
	ZTA2	Theale No 2	Theale	1,301	159	1,460				
	ZTC	Tidmarsh	Purley on Thames	352	-9	343				
25	Tilehurst Central Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							5,298	2	2,649	-315
	ZTL5	Tilehurst No 5	Birch Copse	1,337	196	1,533				
	ZTL6	Tilehurst No 6	Birch Copse	1,219	-80	1,139				
	Proposed new PD	Tilehurst C	-	-	-	1,233				
	Proposed new PD	Tilehurst D	-	-	-	1,393				
26	Tilehurst North Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							5,742	2	2,871	-93
	ZTL1	Tilehurst No 1	Purley on Thames	1,366	138	1,504				
	ZTL2	Tilehurst No 2	Westwood	2,178	-66	2,112				
	ZTL3	Tilehurst No 3	Birch Copse	1,337	-175	1,162				
	Proposed new PD	Tilehurst B	-	-	-	964				
27	Tilehurst South & Holybrook Ward						Electors	/Cllrs	per Cllr	Diff (42)
							5,576	2	2,788	-176
	ZH1	Holybrook No 1	Calcot	2,736	-111	2,625				
	ZH2	Holybrook No 2	Calcot	2,632	14	2,646				
	Proposed new PD	Tilehurst A	-	-	-	305				


1. Aldermaston Ward




2. Bradfield Ward




3. Bucklebury Ward




4. Burghfield Ward




5. Chieveley Ward




6. Cold Ash Ward




7. Downlands Ward




8. Greenham Ward




9. Hungerford Ward




10. Kintbury Ward



11. Lambourn Ward



12. Mortimer Ward



13. Newbury Clay Hill Ward



14. Newbury Falkland Ward



15. Newbury Northcroft Ward




16. Newbury St Johns Ward




17. Newbury Victoria Ward




18. Pangbourne & Purley Ward




19. Ridgeway Ward




20. Speen Ward




21. Thatcham Central & South Ward




22. Thatcham East Ward




23. Thatcham West Ward




24. Theale Ward




25. Tilehurst Central Ward



26. Tilehurst North Ward



27. Tilehurst South & Holybrook Ward



This page is intentionally left blank